

## A Crystalline $\sigma$ Complex of Copper

Pauline Gualco,<sup>†</sup> Abderrahmane Amgoune,<sup>†</sup> Karinne Miqueu,<sup>‡</sup> Sonia Ladeira,<sup>§</sup> and Didier Bourissou<sup>\*,†</sup>

<sup>+</sup>Université de Toulouse, UPS, LHFA, 118 Route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse, France; CNRS, LHFA UMR 5069, F-31062 Toulouse, France

<sup>‡</sup>Institut Pluridisciplinaire de Recherche sur l'Environnement et les Matériaux (UMR 5254), Equipe Chimie Physique, Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, Hélioparc, 2 Avenue du Président Angot, 64053 Pau cedex 09, France

<sup>\$</sup>Université de Toulouse, UPS, Institut de Chimie de Toulouse, FR2599, 118 Route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse, France

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Over the last decades, our understanding of  $\sigma$ -bond activation at transition metals has progressed considerably from both fundamental and synthetic points of view thanks to the preparation and characterization of a variety of  $\sigma$  complexes. Here we report the synthesis and structural analysis of the first  $\sigma$  complex involving a coinage metal. The copper(I) complex 2 derived from the diphosphine-disilane  $[Ph_2P(C_6H_4)Me_2Si-SiMe_2(C_6H_4)PPh_2]$ (1) has been isolated and crystallographically characterized. The coordination of the Si–Si  $\sigma$  bond to copper was thoroughly analyzed by quantum-chemical methods.

The past decades have witnessed spectacular progress in the activation of inert, apolar  $\sigma$  bonds (H–H as well as E–H and E-E bonds, where E = C, Si, ...) with transition metals. The discovery that  $\sigma$  bonds can coordinate to transition metals without splitting clearly represented a major breakthrough,<sup>1-3</sup> and the ensuing so-called  $\sigma$  complexes are nowadays recognized as key intermediates. Of major interest is the characterization of such bonding situations via spectroscopic and structural methods in order to gain a better understanding of the factors governing the activation of  $\sigma$  bonds.<sup>4</sup> In this respect, it is striking to note that the coordination of  $\sigma$  bonds has been shown structurally with almost all transition metals except the coinage metals.

In recent years, coinage-metal complexes have emerged as powerful catalysts for a broad range of reactions, including  $\sigma$ -bond activations,<sup>5</sup> but  $\sigma$  complexes species with these metals remain very elusive. The possible existence of such a bonding situation has rarely been supported computationally,<sup>6,7</sup> and only recently was experimental evidence reported. The coordination of a C-H  $\sigma$  bond has been identified by pulsed electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy in a copper(II) complex of a triazamacrocylic ligand.<sup>6</sup> Here we report experimental and theoretical evidence for the coordination of a Si–Si  $\sigma$  bond to a coinage metal. In the course of our studies on unusual metalligand interactions supported by phosphine buttresses,<sup>8,9</sup> a crystalline  $\sigma$  complex of copper(I) was obtained from the diphosphine-disilane  $[Ph_2P(C_6H_4)Me_2Si-SiMe_2(C_6H_4)PPh_2]$  (1).

Following previously reported methodologies for the synthesis of diphosphine-silane ligands,<sup>10</sup> 1 was prepared by treatment of 2 equiv of ortho-lithiated triphenylphosphine with 1,2dichlorotetramethyldisilane. The copper(I) complex 2 was

## Scheme 1. Coordination of Diphosphine-Disilane 1 to Copper



obtained by reacting 1 with CuCl and GaCl<sub>3</sub> in dichloromethane at low temperature (Scheme 1).<sup>11</sup> Decomposition occurred upon warming to room temperature, but complex 2 could be isolated in pure form (68% yield) by workup at -20 °C. Complex 2 exhibits a single <sup>31</sup>P NMR signal at 5.2 ppm, indicating the symmetric coordination of the two phosphorus atoms. The <sup>29</sup>Si NMR resonance signal for complex 2 at -23.5ppm is shifted slightly upfield relative to that of free ligand 1 at -21.2 ppm, indicating the retention of the disilane moiety and possibly some interaction between the Si–Si  $\sigma$  bond and the metal center. The coordination of the Si-Si  $\sigma$  bond to the cationic Cu center was unambiguously evidenced structurally and theoretically.

Colorless crystals of 2 (melting point 110.9  $^{\circ}$ C) suitable for an X-ray diffraction study were obtained from a dichloromethane/ pentane solution at -60 °C. The analysis showed that complex 2 adopts a discrete ion pair structure in the solid state (Figure 1). The two Si atoms lie close to the metal center, with the Cu-Si distances [2.7196(14) and 2.7212(14) Å] exceeding the sum of the covalent radii (2.43 Å)<sup>12</sup> by only 12%. Notably, two methyl substituents of the SiMe2 moieties also lie close to the copper center, with short Cu-H contacts of 2.2262(6) and 2.3615(6) Å.<sup>11</sup> The coordination sphere is completed by the two phosphorus atoms [P-Cu distances = 2.2079(13) and 2.2168(13) Å], whose arrangement significantly deviates from linearity  $[P-Cu-P \text{ angle } = 153.72(5)^{\circ}]$ . The copper points toward the Si–Si  $\sigma$  bond, and overall, its geometry is halfway between trigonal-planar and T-shaped. Another salient feature is the significant lengthening of the Si–Si  $\sigma$  bond upon coordination [from 2.3581(11) Å in free ligand 1 to 2.4505(19) Å in 2]. The geometric features of 2 parallel those typically associated with the coordination of  $\sigma$  bonds to transition metals and thus



Received: January 26, 2011 Published: March 07, 2011

#### Journal of the American Chemical Society



**Figure 1.** X-ray crystal structure of complex **2**. Thermal ellipsoids have been drawn at 50% probability, and hydrogen atoms and solvate molecules have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): P1-Cu, 2.2079  $\pm$  13; P2-Cu, 2.2168  $\pm$  13; Si1-Cu, 2.7212  $\pm$  14; Si2-Cu, 2.7196  $\pm$  14; Si1-Si2, 2.4505  $\pm$  19; P1-Cu-P2, 153.72  $\pm$  5.

# Scheme 2. Coordination of Diphosphine-Disilane 1 to Silver



strongly argue in favor of side-on coordination of the disilane moiety to copper.

The coordination of 1 to silver(I) was also performed via a similar procedure to give the corresponding complex 3 in 82% isolated yield (Scheme 2). The single-crystal X-ray diffraction study revealed a markedly different bonding situation (Figure 2). The metal center is surrounded by the two phosphorus atoms [P-Ag distances = 2.4010(9) and 2.4008(9) Å]. A weak contact with one chlorine atom of the  $GaCl_4^-$  counteranion [3.065(3)] Å] is present, but the geometry around Ag deviates only slightly from linearity  $[P-Ag-P \text{ angle} = 171.91(3)^\circ]$ .<sup>13</sup> The two Si atoms remain at much longer distances from the metal center than in the copper complex: the Ag–Si distances in 3[3.4766(11)] and 3.3896(11) Å] exceed the sum of the covalent radii (2.56 Å)<sup>11</sup> by more than 32%. In addition, the Si–Si  $\sigma$  bond length [2.3633(15)] Å] is very similar to that in free ligand 1 and substantially shorter than that in 2. According to these geometric data, the disilane moiety does not coordinate to the metal center in 3.

Density functional theory calculations on the complexes 2\* and 3\* (including the GaCl<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> counteranion and having methyl or phenyl substituents on the phosphorus atoms) were carried out.<sup>11</sup> The optimized geometries nicely reproduced those determined crystallographically. In agreement with the geometric data, natural bond orbital (NBO) analyses identified a donoracceptor interaction from the Si–Si  $\sigma$  bond to the metal center only in the copper complex 2\* (the delocalization energy found at the second-order perturbation level was  $\Delta E_{\rm NBO} \sim 14-19$ kcal/mol). The coordination of the Si–Si  $\sigma$  bond to copper is largely dominated by Si–Si  $\sigma$  to Cu donation. A donor–acceptor interaction associated with Cu to Si–Si  $\sigma$ \* back-donation was also found, but the corresponding delocalization energy was negligible (<1 kcal/mol). Another conspicuous feature of the



**Figure 2.** X-ray crystal structure of complex 3. Thermal ellipsoids have been drawn at 50% probability, and hydrogen atoms and solvate molecules have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): P1–Ag, 2.4010  $\pm$  9; P2–Ag, 2.4008  $\pm$  9; Si1–Ag, 3.4766  $\pm$  11; Si2–Ag, 3.3896  $\pm$  11; Si1–Si2, 2.3633  $\pm$  15; P1–Ag–P2, 171.91  $\pm$  3.



**Figure 3.** Three-dimensional images of the NLMOs centered on the Si–Si  $\sigma$  bond in complexes (a) **2a**<sup>\*</sup> and (b) **3a**<sup>\*</sup>.

coordination of the disilane is the symmetry of the natural localized molecular orbital (NLMO) centered on the Si–Si  $\sigma$  bond, which is significantly distorted toward the metal in the copper complex **2**<sup>\*</sup> but remains cylindrical in the silver complex **3**<sup>\*</sup> (Figure 3). The description of **2**<sup>\*</sup> as a genuine  $\sigma$  complex was further supported by electron localization function (ELF) analyses.<sup>11,14</sup> The side-on coordination of the disilane moiety in the copper complex **2**<sup>\*</sup> is associated with a trisynaptic (Cu, Si, Si) ELF basin, whereas in the free ligand **1**<sup>\*</sup> and silver complex **3**<sup>\*</sup>, the Si–Si  $\sigma$  bond is associated with a disynaptic (Si, Si) ELF basin.

On the basis of all these experimental and theoretical data, the copper complex **2** can be unambiguously formulated as a  $\sigma$  complex, and as such, it provides the first structural evidence for such a bonding situation involving a coinage metal. The related silver complex **3** adopts a markedly different structure without coordination of the disilane moiety. The lower Lewis acidity of Ag(I) and its higher propensity to accommodate linear dicoordinate complexes<sup>12</sup> most likely explain this difference. Moreover, this indicates that diphosphine—disilane ligand **1** is relatively flexible and that the coordination of the Si—Si  $\sigma$  bond in **2** is supported but not geometrically imposed by the two phosphine buttresses.

Notably, the formation of  $\sigma$  complexes is less favorable with Si–Si bonds than with X–H bonds (X = H, C, Si, ...) on both orbital and steric grounds.<sup>15</sup> The directionality of the Si–Si  $\sigma$  bond does not permit optimal overlap, and the surrounding substituents induce substantial shielding. Although the activation of Si–Si bonds by transition metals, which is the key step for catalytic bis-silylation reactions, has been fairly well documented,<sup>16</sup> not much is known about Si–Si  $\sigma$  complexes.<sup>17</sup> To date, the coordination of Si–Si  $\sigma$  bonds had been authenticated structurally only once, in a trinuclear Pd cluster arising from the thermal condensation of  $[o-C_6H_4(SiH_2)_2Pd(dmpe)]$  [dmpe =1,2-bis(dimethylphosphino)ethane].<sup>18–21</sup> The transient formation of an unsupported Si–Si  $\sigma$  complex was also recently proposed to account for the fluxional behavior of the bis-silyl Pd complex [(dcpe)Pd(SiMe\_2H)\_2] [dcpe =1,2-bis-(dicyclohexylphosphino)ethane], as observed in solution by

NMR spectroscopy.<sup>22</sup> In conclusion, the diphosphine—disilane copper(I) complex **2** represents the first structurally authenticated  $\sigma$  complex involving a coinage metal and is a very rare example of a Si—Si  $\sigma$  complex. Ongoing studies are aimed at further exploration of the coordination of  $\sigma$  bonds to coinage metals.

### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

**Supporting Information.** Detailed experimental conditions and procedures, theoretical details, and analytical data and crystallographic data (CIF) for compounds **1**, **2**, and **3**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author dbouriss@chimie.ups-tlse.fr

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Financial support from the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, the Université de Toulouse, and the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-10-BLAN-070901) is gratefully acknowledged. Useful discussions with I. Fourré (UPMC) regarding the ELF analyses are greatly appreciated. The theoretical work was granted access to HPC resources of Idris under Allocation 2010 (i2010080045) made by Grand Equipement National de Calcul Intensif (GENCI).

#### REFERENCES

(1) Kubas, G. J. Metal Dihydrogen and Sigma-Bond Complexes; Kluwer Academic/Plenum: New York, 2001.

(2) Crabtree, R. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 789.

(3) Coordination Chemistry of Saturated Molecules special feature: Bercaw, J. E.; Labinger, J. A. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2007**, *104*, 6899 and subsequent articles.

(4) (a) Kubas, G. J.; Ryan, R. R.; Swanson, B. I.; Vergamini, P. J.; Wasserman, H. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 451. (b) Brayshaw, S. K.; Sceats, E. L.; Green, J. C.; Weller, A. S. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2007**, *104*, 6921. (c) Bernskoetter, W. H.; Schauer, C. K.; Goldberg, K. I.; Brookhart, M. *Science* **2009**, *326*, 553.

(5) Coinage Metals in Organic Synthesis special issue: Lipshutz, B. H.; Yamamoto, Y. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 2793 and subsequent articles.

(6) Ribas, X.; Calle, C.; Poater, A.; Casitas, A.; Gómez, L.; Xifra, R.; Parella, T.; Benet-Buchholz, J.; Schweiger, A.; Mitrikas, G.; Solà, M.; Llobet, A.; Stack, T. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 12299.

(7) Lillo, V.; Fructos, M. R.; Ramirez, J.; Braga, A. A. C.; Maseras, F.; Mar, D. R.; Perez, P. J.; Fernandez, E. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **200**7, *13*, 2614.

(8) Bouhadir, G.; Amgoune, A.; Bourissou, D. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 2010, 58, 1.

(9) Amgoune, A.; Bourissou, D. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 859.

(10) Gualco, P.; Lin, T. P.; Sircoglou, M.; Mercy, M.; Ladeira, S.; Bouhadir, G.; Perez, L. M.; Amgoune, A.; Maron, L.; Gabbai, F. P.; Bourissou, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 9892.

(11) See the Supporting Information for details.

(12) Cordero, B.; Gomez, V.; Platero-Prats, A. E.; Reves, M.; Echeverria, J.; Cremades, E.; Barragan, F.; Alvarez, S. *Dalton Trans.* **2008**, 2832.

(13) Carvajal, M. A.; Novoa, J. J.; Alvarez, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1465.

(14) Silvi, B.; Savin, A. Nature 1994, 371, 683.

(15) Bercaw, J. E.; Labinger, J. A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2007, 104, 6899.

(16) (a) Sharma, H. K.; Pannell, K. H. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 1351. (b) Suginome, M.; Ito, Y. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 3221. (c) Beletskaya, I.; Moberg, C. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 2320.

(17) Nikonov, G. I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 1335.

(18) Chen, W.; Shimada, S.; Tanaka, M. Science **2002**, 295, 308.

(19) Sherer, E. C.; Kinsinger, C. R.; Kormos, B. L.; Thompson, J. D.;

Cramer, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1953.

(20) Aullon, G.; Lledos, A.; Alvarez, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1956.

(21) Because of its unique Y-shaped geometry with a relatively short Si···Si contact, the bis-silyl Pt complex  $[(IPr)Pt(SiMe_2Ph)_2]$  [IPr = bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene] has been considered as an intermediate in the oxidative addition/reductive elimination of Si–Si  $\sigma$  bonds. See: Berthon-Gelloz, G.; de Bruin, B.; Tinant, B.; Markó, I. E. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 3161.

(22) Boyle, R. C.; Pool, D.; Jacobsen, H.; Fink, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 9054.